Conjugates of Pyropheophorbide \( \alpha \) with Androgen Receptor Ligands
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Two new conjugates of pyropheophorbide \( \alpha \) with testosterone and dihydrotestosterone: \( 17\beta\)-2-(17β-hydroxy-3-oxopregn-4-en-21-oylamido)ethylamido)pyropheophorbide \( \alpha \) (10) and \( 17\beta\)-2-(17β-hydroxy-3-oxopregn-21-oylamido)ethylamidopyropheophorbide \( \alpha \) (11) were synthesized. IC\(_{50}\) for conjugates 10 and 11 at 96 h incubation in LNCaP and PC-3 prostate carcinoma cells were 1.4 μM and 3.3 μM for compound 10, and 4.5 μM and 6.1 μM for compound 11, respectively. Irradiation with light at wavelength of 660 nm increased toxicity of the conjugates.
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Синтезированы два новых конъюгата пирофеофобрида \( \alpha \) с тестостероном и дигидротестостероном: \( 17\beta\)-2-(17β-гидрокси-3-оксопрегн-4-en-21-оиламидо)этиламидопирофеофобрид \( \alpha \) (10) и \( 17\beta\)-2-(17β-гидрокси-3-оксопрегнан-21-оиламидо)этиламидопирофеофобрид \( \alpha \) (11). IC\(_{50}\) для конъюгатов 10 и 11 при 96-часовой инкубации в клетках карциномы простаты LNCaP и PC-3 составляет 1.4 мкМ и 3.3 мкМ для соединения 10 и 4.5 мкМ и 6.1 мкМ для соединения 11, соответственно. Облучение светом длиной волны 660 нм приводило к многократному повышению токсичности конъюгатов.
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Conjugates Of Pyropheophorbide $a$ With Androgen Receptor Ligands

Tetrapyrrolic macrocycles, porphyrins and chlorins, owing to their unique photochemical and photophysical properties have wide range of biomedical applications such as optical imaging, fluorescent labeling, photodynamic inactivation of microbial infections, and photodynamic therapy of solid tumors. Coupling of macrocycles with fragments of biological active molecules improves delivery and distribution of macrocycle-based compounds to a specific location within the cells, facilitates its transport through receptor or drug mediated endocytosis, and affects its biological activity.[1-3] Synthesized earlier conjugates of macrocycles with polyamines, amino acids, peptides, peptidomimetics, antibiotics, nucleotides, carbohydrates, bile acids, lipids, steroids, etc., revealed prospective implications in biomedical studies and photodynamic therapy.[4-19]

In this study we have synthesized conjugates of pyropheophorbide $a$ with androgen receptor ligands – testosterone and dihydrotestosterone. Androgen receptor is known to be an important drug target for treatment of prostate cancer. Modern trends in preparation and application of various steroid conjugates targeting androgen receptor have been reviewed.[20, and the ref. therein] Until now conjugates of testosterone and dihydrotestosterone with tetrapyrrolic macrocycles have not been reported. Synthesis of new conjugates $10$ and $11$ is presented in the Scheme 1.

Testosterone $1$ and dihydrotestosterone $4$ were transformed to steroid blocks $2$ and $5$ by three steps including consecutive protection of carbonyl functions with formation of 1,3-dioxolanes, oxidation of 17β-hydroxyl groups, and Reformatsky reaction of obtained 17-ketones with Zn and ethyl bromoacetate.[21,22] The aforementioned reaction is known to pass stereoselectively and give appropriate 17β-OH isomer. Removal of ethylene ketal and ethyl ester protective groups in compounds $2$ and $5$ led to 21-carboxylic acids $3$ and $6$ in 49 % and 58 % overall yields (based on compounds $1$ and $4$, respectively). Compounds $3$ and $6$ were transformed to related N-hydroxy succinimide esters $3a$ and $6a$ by treatment with N-hydroxysuccinimide in the presence of N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC). HRMS, $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR data for compounds $2$, $3$, $3a$, $5$, $6$ and $6a$ are given in Supplementary section.

Pyropheophorbide $a$ derivative comprising primary amino group (compound $8$[23]) was prepared from pyropheophorbide $a$ through formation of pentafluorophenyl ester $7a$, followed by its treatment with excess of ethylene diamine. Compound $9$[24] comprising Boc-protected amino group was prepared from pentafluorophenyl ester $7a$ by the same reaction with mono-Boc ethylene diamine.[23]

Condensation of N-hydroxysuccinimide esters of steroid acids $3a$ and $6a$ with 17-[(2-aminoethyl)amido] pyropheophorbide $a$ ($8$) led to the target conjugates $10$ and $11$,[26,27] respectively. These conjugates were isolated as individual compounds. Their structures were completely characterized by HRMS, $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and electron absorption spectra.

Absorption spectra of conjugates $9$, $10$ and $11$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ were very close to those for pyropheophorbide $a$ and 17-[(2-aminoethyl)amido] pyropheophorbide $a$ ($8$). $^1$H NMR spectra of conjugates $10$ and $11$ displayed high field shifts for H-18' and H-19' methyl protons in comparison with those in spectra of non conjugated steroids (s, 0.53 ppm and s, 0.91 ppm for compound $10$ instead of s, 0.95 ppm and s, 1.19 ppm for compound $3$; s, 0.56 ppm and s, 0.78 ppm for compound $11$ instead of s, 0.92 and s, 1.01 ppm for compound $6$). The H-4' resonance for compound $10$ (s,

Scheme 1. (a) N-OSu, DCC/CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (b) CF$_3$COOCF$_3$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (c) H$_2$N(CH$_2$)$_2$NH$_2$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (d) BocNH(CH$_2$)$_2$NH$_2$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
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5.46 ppm) was also shifted in high field compared with those for compound 3(s, 5.73 ppm). These spectral peculiarities apparently were caused by influence of macrocyle on steroid moiety; close effects were reported earlier for conjugates of pyropheophorbide a with cholesterol. Resonance of tert-butyl protons in conjugate 9(s, 1.21 ppm) was also shifted in high field compared to those usually observed for Boc-amides (s, 1.4 ppm).

Speculating that steroid fragments may affect affinity conjugates 10 and 11 to prostate carcinoma cells, we investigated viability of androgen-sensitive LNCaP and androgen-insensitive PC-3 cells in the presence of these conjugates and 17[(2′-tert-butoxy carbonyl amino)ethyl]-amido] pyropheophorbide a (9) (as reference compound). Two experiments were carried out: in the Experiment 1 we have measured LNCaP and PC-3 cells viability at 96 h incubation with compounds 9, 10 and 11; in the Experiment 2 we have compared dark toxicity and photo toxicity of conjugates in the same cells at short time incubation (labeling – 18 h; irradiation – 10 min; incubation without compounds – in the same cells at short time incubation (labeling – 18 h; irradiation) – 10 min; incubation without compounds – 24 h). Cell viability was measured with MTT method. Two experiments were carried out: in the Experiment 1 we have measured LNCaP and PC-3 cells viability at 96 h incubation with compounds 9, 10 and 11; in the Experiment 2 we have compared dark toxicity and photo toxicity of conjugates in the same cells at short time incubation (labeling – 18 h; irradiation – 10 min; incubation without compounds – 24 h). Cell viability was measured with MTT method. The protocol used is given in supplementary section. Student’s t-test was used to estimate average values for all cases. All Student’s t-tests were calculated by an online calculator (http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/ttest1.cfm), confidence interval for each case did not exceed 6 % of the mean.

The results demonstrated that coupling of pyropheophorbide a with testosterone and dihydrotestosterone led to conjugates toxic in LNCaP and PC-3 cells. Figures 1a and 1b (see Supplementary section) showed that conjugates 10 and 11 were highly toxic in both prostate carcinoma cells at 96 h incubation; conjugate 10 being significantly more potent cytotoxic agent than conjugate 11, steroid-free conjugate 9 exhibited rather low effect on cells viability.

Figures 1c and 1d showed that both conjugates 10 and 11 decreased LNCaP and PC-3 cells viability at short time incubation (dark toxicity), though less potently than at 96 h incubation; conjugate 9 at short time incubation stimulated proliferation of LNCaP, rather than PC-3 cells. Irradiation (LED AFS “Spectrum”, Laser medical centrum Ltd, Moscow, Russia; wavelength of 660 nm, 10 min) potently increased toxicity of conjugates in all cases. However, at short time incubation (either with irradiation, or without irradiation) cells viability remained rather high (≈20 % for PC-3 cells, ≈40 % for LNCaP cells) even at 50 μM and 100 μM of conjugates 10 and 11. 1C, as for conjugates 10 and 11 at 96 h incubation in LNCaP and PC-3 prostate carcinoma cells were 1.4 μM and 3.3 μM for compound 10, and 4.5 μM and 6.1 μM for compound 11, respectively (Table 1, Supplementary section).

In conclusion, conjugates of pyropheophorbide a with androgen receptor ligands – testosterone and dihydrotestosterone – were synthesized. These conjugates were found to exhibit potent dark and photo toxicity in prostate carcinoma cells. We speculate that further investigation of uptake, distribution, subcellular localization, and possible participation in signaling and regulatory pathways of these compounds and related steroid conjugates may be helpful for development of new photo sensitizers possessing high specificity and activity.
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